

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,291	10/06/2000	Alexander P. Moravsky	7000R	9193
I PODOL D DD	7590 12/28/2007	EXAMINER		
LEOPOLD PRESSER SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER			PATEL, ASHOK	
	400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530-0299			PAPER NUMBER
OARDENCIT	1,141 11550-0255		2879	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/680,291	MORAVSKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ashok Patel	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuding Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1984). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 67,70,73-84 and 97-100 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 70,73-84 and 97-100 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 67 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	on is/are withdrawn from condition of the condition requirement. The condition requirement of the condition is a second to the condition of the condition is a second to the condition is a	by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Page 2 Number: 09/680,291

Art Unit: 2879

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission/response filed on 11/02/2007 has been entered.

2. In the above-mentioned response, applicant's traversal regarding election of Group I, only claim 67, by original presentation is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that group I (combination) and group II (sub-combination, claims 108-110) are interrelated and therefore can not be restricted.

This is not found persuasive because: as mentioned in the restriction requirement (mailed on 07/03/2007) the combination (claim 67, solid substance) does not require the double wall nanotube of group II to be <u>substantially pure</u>. Also it was mentioned in the restriction requirement that the sub-combination (claims 108-110) has a separate utility (such as display device, diode switch, triode switch etc.). The Examiner has shown the two way restriction requirement test. From applicant's entire

Application/Control Page 3

Number: 09/680,291

Art Unit: 2879

argument, it appears that applicant has omitted attention on the literal term "substantially pure" which was the main basis of determining the restriction requirement.

The Examiner believes that the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and therefore made FINAL. Claims 108-110 remain withdrawn from consideration. Claims 70, 73-84 and 98-100 were already withdrawn earlier in past. An action on merits including claim 67 is as follows.

- 3. In view of applicant's submission of Declaration, the prior art rejection of clam 67 is withdrawn and applicant's arguments are considered moot in view of a new ground of rejection as below.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iijima (USPN 5830327, of record).

Number: 09/680,291

Art Unit: 2879

Iijima et al disclose applicant's claimed solid substance (Figure 2; at least col. 4, lines 15-35) including hollow carbon nanotubes having two (double) layers (at least al and a2) of carbon atoms, the nanotubes consisting of two concentric nearly cylindrical graphene layers.

As to the limitation "by more than half by weight", the Examiner interprets this limitation as "anywhere from 51 percentage of weight up to 100 percentage of weight" in a broadest reasonable manner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ashok Patel/
Ashok Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879